

APES 350
PARTICIPATION BY MEMBERS IN PUBLIC PRACTICE IN
DUE DILIGENCE COMMITTEES IN CONNECTION WITH
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT
 (Issued November 2009)

CONTENTS

	Paragraph
Scope and application.....	1
Definitions	2
Fundamental responsibilities of Members in Public Practice.....	3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public interest - Professional appointments - Professional Independence - Professional competence and due care - Confidentiality 	
Professional Engagement and other matters.....	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Materiality guidance 	
Roles and obligations of a Member in Public Practice in a due diligence process in connection with a Public Document.....	5
Documentation	6
Reporting	7
Professional fees	8
<i>Appendix 1 Due Diligence Sign-Off</i>	

Copyright © 2009 Accounting Professional & Ethical Standards Board Limited (“APESB”). All rights reserved. Apart from fair dealing for the purpose of study, research, criticism and review as permitted by the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part of these materials may be reproduced, modified, or reused or redistributed for any commercial purpose, or distributed to a third party for any such purpose, without the prior written permission of APESB.

Any permitted reproduction including fair dealing must acknowledge APESB as the source of any such material reproduced and any reproduction made of the material must include a copy of this original notice.

1. Scope and application

- 1.1 Accounting Professional & Ethical Standards Board Limited (APESB) issues professional standard APES 350 *Participation by Members in Public Practice in Due Diligence Committees in connection with a Public Document (the Standard)*, which is effective for Engagements commencing on or after 1 January 2010. Earlier adoption of this Standard is permitted.
- 1.2 APES 350 sets the standards for Members in Public Practice in the provision of quality and ethical Professional Services to a Client which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee, as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document. The mandatory requirements of this Standard are in **bold type (black lettering)**, preceded or followed by discussion or explanations in normal type (grey lettering). APES 350 should be read in conjunction with other professional duties of Members, and any legal obligations that may apply.
- 1.3 **Members in Public Practice in Australia shall follow the mandatory requirements of APES 350 when they provide Professional Services to a Client, which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document issued in Australia. Where the transaction to which the Public Document relates is to be undertaken in whole or in part in a jurisdiction other than Australia or where the laws and/or regulations of a jurisdiction other than Australia apply to the Public Document, Members shall follow this Standard, except to the extent that this would cause a Member to breach the laws and/or regulations of such other jurisdiction.**
- 1.4 **Members in Public Practice practising outside of Australia shall follow the mandatory requirements of this Standard to the extent to which they are not prevented from so doing by specific requirements of local laws and/or regulations.**
- 1.5 **Members in Public Practice shall be familiar with relevant Professional Standards and guidance notes when providing Professional Services. All Members shall comply with the fundamental principles outlined in the Code.**
- 1.6 The Standard is not intended to detract from any responsibilities which may be imposed by law or regulation.
- 1.7 All references to Professional Standards are references to those provisions as amended from time to time.
- 1.8 In applying the requirements outlined in APES 350, Members in Public Practice should be guided not merely by the words but also by the spirit of this Standard and the Code.
- 1.9 The Standard should be applied to the extent practicable where a Member in Public Practice provides Professional Services to a Client which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with an Engagement which is not in connection with a Public Document, such as Engagements in connection with a capital raisings undertaken without the preparation of a Public Document.

1.10 A Member in Public Practice may provide Professional Services to a Client in connection with a Due Diligence Committee in the role of a:

- DDC Member;
- DDC Member and Reporting Person;
- DDC Observer;
- DDC Observer and Reporting Person;
- Reporting Person.

These roles are defined in paragraph 2 and discussed in paragraph 5.1.

2. Definitions

For the purpose of this Standard:

Acceptable Level means a level at which a reasonable and informed third party would be likely to conclude, weighing all the specific facts and circumstances available to the Member in Public Practice at that time, that compliance with the fundamental principles of the Code is not compromised.

Assurance Client means an entity in respect of which a Firm conducts an Assurance Engagement.

Assurance Engagement means an Engagement in which a conclusion is expressed by a Member in Public Practice designed to enhance the degree of confidence of the intended users other than the responsible party about the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter against criteria.

This includes an Engagement in accordance with the *Framework for Assurance Engagements* issued by the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) or in accordance with specific relevant standards, such as International Standards on Auditing for Assurance Engagements.

Audit Client

An entity in respect of which a Firm conducts an Audit Engagement. When the Audit Client is a Listed Entity, disclosing entity or registered scheme, Audit Client will always include its related entities.

Audit Engagement

An Assurance Engagement to provide a reasonable level of assurance that a financial report is free of material misstatement, such as an Engagement in accordance with Auditing and Assurance Standards. This includes a statutory audit which is an audit required by legislation or other regulation, and other audits conducted for the purposes of the *Corporations Act 2001*.

Auditing and Assurance Standards means:

- In relation to reports for reporting periods commencing on or after 1 July 2006:
 - the AUASB Standards, as defined in the *Foreword to AUASB Pronouncements*, issued by the AUASB, and operative from the date specified in each standard; and
 - those standards issued by the AuASB which have not yet been revised and reissued (whether as standards or as guidance) by the AUASB, to the extent that they are not inconsistent with the AUASB standards.

- In relation to reports for reporting periods commencing prior to 1 July 2006, the Auditing and Assurance Standards issued by the AuASB on behalf of CPA Australia and the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Australia.

AuASB means the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board which issued Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards up to 30 June 2004, under the auspices of the Australian Accounting Research Foundation, a joint venture of CPA Australia and the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Australia.

AUASB means the Australian statutory body called the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board established under section 227A of the *Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001*.

Australian Financial Services Licence means a licence to provide financial services under Chapter 7 of the *Corporations Act 2001*

Client means an individual, firm, entity or organisation to whom or to which Professional Services are provided by a Member in Public Practice in respect of Engagements of either a recurring or demand nature.

Code means APES 110 *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants*.

Contingent Fee means a fee calculated on a predetermined basis relating to the outcome or result of a transaction or the result of the work performed. A fee that is established by a court or other public authority is not a Contingent Fee.

DDC Member means a Member in Public Practice who is engaged by a Client to provide Professional Services as a member of a Due Diligence Committee and who will participate in the Due Diligence Committee's decisions, sign all the collective reports and other documents issued by the Due Diligence Committee and in most instances will prepare a Due Diligence Sign-Off.

DDC Observer means a Member in Public Practice who is engaged by a Client to provide Professional Services as an observer to a Due Diligence Committee but who will not participate as a DDC Member and will not sign or be a party to any collective reports or documents issued by the Due Diligence Committee. As an observer a Member will :

- attend one or more meetings of the Due Diligence Committee but not undertake any due diligence enquiries or have reporting obligations to the Client or to the Due Diligence Committee; or
- attend one or more meetings of the Due Diligence Committee and undertake due diligence enquiries in relation to Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information and provide a report to the Client and/or the Due Diligence Committee. In certain circumstances, depending on [factors such as timing and](#) the scope of the Engagement, the Member may prepare a Due Diligence Sign-Off.

Disclosure Document means a disclosure document as defined in the *Corporations Act 2001*.

Due Diligence Committee means a committee established by Those Charged with Governance of a Client to co-ordinate and assist with the due diligence process to be undertaken by the Client in relation to a Public Document.

Due Diligence Planning Memorandum means the document prepared on behalf of ~~the a~~ Client and signed by members of ~~the its~~ Due Diligence Committee which sets out the due diligence process and reporting responsibilities. This document also specifies the respective individual and collective responsibilities of the participants in the due diligence process, including those of the members of the Due Diligence Committee.

Due Diligence Sign-Off means the letter or other appropriate means in written form issued by a DDC Member or in certain cases a DDC Observer in connection with a Public Document when reporting to a Client and its Due Diligence Committee on the results of procedures on Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information.

Engagement means an agreement, whether written or otherwise, between a Member in Public Practice and a Client relating to the provision of Professional Services by a Member in Public Practice. However, consultations with a prospective Client prior to such agreement are not part of an Engagement.

Engagement Document means the document (i.e. letter, agreement or any other appropriate means) in which the Terms of Engagement are specified in a written form.

Engagement Team means all personnel performing an Engagement, including any experts contracted by the Firm in connection with that Engagement.

Financial Information means historical, pro forma or prospective financial information or some combination of these as specified in the Engagement Document.

Firm means (a) A sole practitioner, partnership, corporation or other entity of professional accountants;
(b) An entity that controls such parties through ownership, management or other means;
(c) An entity controlled by such parties through ownership, management or other means; or
(d) An Auditor-General's office or department.

Independence means:

- (a) Independence of mind – the state of mind that permits the provision of an opinion without being affected by influences that compromise professional judgement, allowing an individual to act with integrity, and exercise objectivity and professional scepticism; and
- (b) Independence in appearance – the avoidance of facts and circumstances that are so significant a reasonable and informed third party, having knowledge of all relevant information, including any safeguards applied, would reasonably conclude a Firm's, or a member of the Engagement Team's integrity, objectivity or professional scepticism had been compromised.

Listed Entity

An entity whose shares, stock or debt are quoted or listed on a recognised stock exchange, or are marketed under the regulations of a recognised stock exchange or other equivalent body.

Materiality Letter means the letter or other appropriate written communication issued by a Member in Public Practice to a Client and its Due Diligence Committee that provides materiality guidance prepared with reference to applicable Auditing and Assurance Standards.

Member means a member of a professional body that has adopted this Standard as applicable to their membership as defined by that professional body.

Member in Public Practice means a Member, irrespective of functional classification (e.g. audit, tax, or consulting) in a Firm that provides Professional Services. The term is also used to refer to a Firm of Members in Public Practice and means a practice entity as defined by the applicable professional body.

Network means a larger structure:

- (a) That is aimed at co-operation, and
- (b) That is clearly aimed at profit or cost sharing or shares common ownership, control or management, common quality control policies and procedures, common business strategy, the use of a common branch-name, or a significant part of professional resources.

Network Firm means a Firm or entity that belongs to a Network.

Other Specific Information means specifically identified information, other than Financial Information, in a Public Document, which has been the subject of procedures performed by a Member in Public Practice as specified in the Engagement Document. Examples include specific tax-related information, environmental matters, information technology matters and specific metrics or ratios calculated using elements of the Financial Information.

Partner means any individual with authority to bind the Firm with respect to the performance of an Engagement.

Product Disclosure Statement means a statement as defined in Chapter 7 of the *Corporations Act 2001*.

Professional Services means services requiring accountancy or related skills performed by a Member in Public Practice including accounting, auditing, taxation, management consulting and financial management services.

Professional Standards means all standards issued by Accounting Professional & Ethical Standards Board Limited and all professional and ethical requirements of the applicable professional body.

Public Document means a Disclosure Document, Product Disclosure Statement or other documentation provided to shareholders, unit holders or holders of a relevant interest in an entity (or which is provided to management of an entity) in relation to a scheme of arrangement under Part 5.1 of the *Corporations Act 2001* or a takeover or compulsory acquisition under Chapter 6 of the *Corporations Act 2001*.

Reporting Person means a Member in Public Practice who is engaged by a Client to provide Professional Services and report to the Client and its Due Diligence Committee on a specific issue or area of enquiry, which has been identified by the Client or the Due Diligence Committee. A Reporting Person may also be a DDC Member or DDC Observer.

Terms of Engagement means the terms and conditions that are agreed between the Client and the Member in Public Practice for the Engagement.

Those Charged with Governance includes those persons accountable for ensuring that the entity achieves its objectives with regard to reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, compliance with applicable laws and reporting to interested parties. Those Charged with Governance includes management only when it performs such functions.

3. Fundamental responsibilities of Members in Public Practice

3.1 A Member in Public Practice providing Professional Services to a Client which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document shall comply with Section 100 *Introduction and Fundamental Principles* of the Code and relevant law.

3.2 A Member in Public Practice providing Professional Services to a Client which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document shall comply with Section 220 *Conflict of Interest* and Section 280 *Objectivity – All Services* of the Code.

Public interest

3.3 In accordance with Section 100 *Introduction and Fundamental Principles* of the Code, a Member in Public Practice shall observe and comply with the Member's public interest obligations when the Member provides Professional Services to a Client which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document.

Professional appointments

- 3.4 A Member in Public Practice who is invited by a Client or potential Client to provide Professional Services which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document shall comply with the requirements of Section 210 *Professional Appointment* of the Code.
- 3.5 A Member in Public Practice who is invited by a Client or potential Client to provide Professional Services which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document shall determine whether there are threats to the Member's ability to comply with the fundamental principles of the Code prior to accepting the Engagement. Where the Member determines that there is a threat to the Member's ability to comply with the fundamental principles of the Code, the Member shall apply appropriate safeguards to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an Acceptable Level. Where appropriate safeguards are not available to reduce the threats to an Acceptable Level, the Member shall decline the Engagement or the relevant part thereof.
- 3.6 A Member in Public Practice who is invited by an Assurance Client to provide Professional Services which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document shall consider Section 290 *Independence – Assurance Engagements* of the Code to determine whether the proposed Professional Services create threats to the Member's Independence. Where the Member determines that there is a threat to the Member's Independence, the Member shall apply appropriate safeguards to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an Acceptable Level. Where appropriate safeguards are not available to reduce the threats to an Acceptable Level, the Member shall decline the Engagement or the relevant part thereof.
- 3.7 A Member in Public Practice who is invited by an Audit Client to provide Professional Services which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document shall comply with the applicable independence requirements of the *Corporations Act 2001*.
- 3.7.8 When considering the appropriateness of accepting a role as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person, a Member in Public Practice should consider matters such as:
- a) the responsibilities of the role;
 - b) the circumstances and context of the role, including the proposed transaction to which the Public Document relates, the proposed timetable for the due diligence process, the availability of information and any limitations on the scope of the Professional Services to be provided (this would usually be outlined in the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum);
 - c) relevant experience and expertise of the other members of the Due Diligence Committee and other participants in the due diligence process, as membership of the Due Diligence Committee will generally create a relationship of cross reliance;
 - d) whether providing the Professional Services would require the Member to hold an Australian Financial Services Licence; and
 - e) where the Member's Firm or a Network Firm is the statutory auditor of a ~~listed~~ Listed Entity or disclosing entity in Australia or a foreign jurisdiction, whether independence obligations, in addition to the requirements of the Code, may preclude the Member from accepting a role as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person, or limit the scope of the role the Member may perform.

3.89 If a Member in Public Practice is not certain about the legal implications of performing the role of a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person the Member should seek legal advice.

Professional Independence

3.910 When engaged to provide a Professional Service to a Client which requires Independence, a Member in Public Practice shall comply with Independence as defined in this Standard.

3.4011 A Member in Public Practice shall consider whether an Engagement, or a specific element of the Engagement, is an Assurance Engagement under the *Framework for Assurance Engagements* issued by the AUASB.

3.4412 Where the Engagement is an Assurance Engagement, the Member in Public Practice shall comply with Section 290 *Independence – Assurance Engagements* of the Code.

Professional competence and due care

3.42-13 A Member in Public Practice performing Professional Services shall maintain professional competence and take due care in the performance of the Member's work in accordance with Section 130 *Professional Competence and Due Care* of the Code.

3.4314 Where a Member in Public Practice has agreed to provide a Due Diligence Sign-Off in respect of Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information that requires the consideration of matters that are outside the professional expertise of the Member, the Member shall seek expert assistance or advice from a suitably qualified third party or decline the Engagement. Where the Member relies upon the advice of a third party in connection with a Due Diligence Sign-Off or other reports, the Member shall disclose in the Member's Due Diligence Sign-Off or other reports the name and qualifications of the third party and the subject matter on which the third party advice has been obtained.

3.4415 When planning to use the work of a suitably qualified third party, a Member in Public Practice shall assess the professional competence and objectivity of that third party and the appropriateness and adequacy of the work performed.

3.4516 A Due Diligence Committee will usually include or be assisted by advisers to the Client, including the Client's legal adviser. A Member in Public Practice who reports to a Due Diligence Committee will typically rely on the advice and opinions of those advisers. Accordingly, paragraphs 3.43-14 and 3.44-15 are not intended to require a Member to obtain separate advice on matters for which another adviser of the Due Diligence Committee is responsible.

3.4617 In performing a Professional Service, a Member in Public Practice should consider the contents of any guidance in respect of such services issued by the professional accounting bodies and appropriate regulatory authorities.

Confidentiality

3.4718 In accordance with Section 140 *Confidentiality* of the Code, a Member in Public Practice who acquires confidential information in the course of professional work for a Client shall not use that information for any purpose other than the proper performance of the professional work for that Client.

3.1819 Where a Member in Public Practice provides Professional Services to a Client which comprise participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee, the proper performance of the work will generally require the Member to disclose confidential information of the Client to the Due Diligence Committee, subject to any [overriding restrictions on access to and disclosure of information \(including those commonly referred to as ethical wall arrangements\)](#). Unless the Member has a legal obligation of disclosure, the Member should not disclose any information relating to the Client's affairs to a party, other than to a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person, without the Client's prior written permission.

4. Professional Engagement and other matters

4.1 A Member in Public Practice shall document and communicate the Terms of Engagement to a Client in accordance with APES 305 *Terms of Engagement* and this Standard.

4.2 The Terms of Engagement prepared by a Member in Public Practice should specify:

- a) whether an investigating accountant's report or other report will be provided for inclusion in the Public Document and if so the Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information that will be the subject of the report and the nature and extent of assurance (if any) to be provided;
- b) where the Member will have a role in relation to the Due Diligence Committee, the nature of the role including whether the Member will be a DDC Member, a DDC Observer or a Reporting Person;
- c) the specific tasks to be undertaken by the Member in connection with the Public Document including the scope of work and identification of the Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information upon which any Due Diligence Sign-Off is to be provided; and
- d) whether the Member will prepare a Due Diligence Sign-off and the proposed form of such sign off.

4.3 Where a Due Diligence Planning Memorandum assigns responsibilities to a Member in Public Practice that extend beyond those agreed in the Engagement Document, ~~(either in the original Engagement Document, an amended Engagement Document or an addendum to the original Engagement Document) or which conflict with, or are prohibited by, this Standard,~~ the Member shall:

- a) advise the Client, and if acceptable to both the Member and the Client, amend and its Due Diligence Committee of the Member's responsibilities outlined in the Engagement Document to reflect the additional responsibilities by re-issuing the Engagement Document or issuing an addendum to the Engagement Document; ~~or and/or this Standard; and~~
- b) where those additional responsibilities conflict with, or are prohibited by, this Standard, or are not acceptable to both the Member and the Client:
 - advise the Client and its Due Diligence Committee of the Member's responsibilities outlined in the Engagement Document and/or this Standard; and
 - take all reasonable steps to have the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum amended so that it does not assign responsibilities to the Member that conflict with, or are prohibited by, this Standard or are beyond those agreed in the Engagement Document or addendum thereto.

4.4 Where, after taking the [appropriate](#) steps outlined in paragraph 4.3, the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum still includes responsibilities that conflict with, or are prohibited by this Standard, the Member in Public Practice shall decline the Engagement to participate in, and/or report to, the Due Diligence Committee.

- 4.5 A Member in Public Practice should take all reasonable steps to ensure that the Public Document and other documents associated with the due diligence process (such as the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum) do not describe the role of the Member in a manner that may imply that the Member has undertaken procedures with respect to, accepted responsibility for, approved the disclosure of, or reported upon, matters or information in the Public Document or other associated documents beyond those agreed in the Engagement Document.

Materiality guidance

- 4.6 **Where a Member in Public Practice agrees to provide materiality guidance, which a Client and its Due Diligence Committee will consider for application to the due diligence process in relation to a Public Document, the Member shall comply with applicable Auditing and Assurance Standards.**
- 4.7 The materiality guidance provided by the Member in Public Practice should only set out the quantitative matters to be considered by the Client and the Due Diligence Committee and indicate that decisions as to quantitative and qualitative considerations concerning materiality in relation to a specific potential or proposed disclosure are the responsibility of the Client after consideration by its Due Diligence Committee.
- 4.8 **A Member in Public Practice who is engaged to provide materiality guidance to a Client and its Due Diligence Committee shall issue a Materiality Letter to the Client and the Due Diligence Committee.**

5. Roles and obligations of a Member in Public Practice in a due diligence process in connection with a Public Document

- 5.1 A Member in Public Practice may be asked to undertake a variety of roles in relation to a due diligence process in connection with a Public Document, ~~including as:~~
- a) ~~being~~ a DDC Member which typically includes:
 - i) attending meetings of the Due Diligence Committee;
 - ii) considering information presented to the Due Diligence Committee;
 - iii) participating in decisions of the Due Diligence Committee;
 - iv) reading and commenting on drafts of the Public Document;
 - v) preparing a Due Diligence Sign-Off; and
 - vi) signing the Due Diligence Committee's report to Those Charged with Governance of the Client;
 - b) ~~being~~ a DDC Observer which typically includes attending some or all meetings of the Due Diligence Committee at the request of the Client and may include performing procedures specified in an Engagement Document and preparing a Due Diligence Sign-Off; or
 - c) ~~being~~ a Reporting Person, reporting to the Client and its Due Diligence Committee on the results of procedures specified in an Engagement Document; ~~or~~

A Member in Public Practice may also undertake work for, and provide a report to, the Client on Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information relevant to the Public Document, but with no Involvement in a Due Diligence Committee in connection with a Public Document (i.e. the Member is not a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person and does not participate in the Due Diligence Committee in connection with the Public Document in any other capacity).

Examples of reports which may be prepared as a Reporting Person, but could equally be prepared by a Member who is not involved in a Due Diligence Committee in connection with the Public Document are:

- ~~d) providing an assurance report or other form of report, applying relevant Auditing and Assurance Standards or other applicable Professional Standards on specific Financial Information (usually known as an investigating accountant's report); and/or Other Specific Information for inclusion in the Public Document; or~~
- ~~e) undertaking work for, and providing a report to, the Client on Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information relevant to the Public Document, other than as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person~~ a tax report on the taxation implications for shareholders of a transaction contemplated in the Public Document;

either of which may or may not be prepared for inclusion in the Public Document.-

5.2 A Member in Public Practice who accepts an Engagement to provide a Due Diligence Sign-Off or other reports to a Due Diligence Committee, whether as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person, shall specify in the ~~Engagement Document~~ Due Diligence Sign-Off or other reports the specific information (that is, Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information) in or relevant to the Public Document that the Member will perform procedures on, and the nature of those procedures. ~~to be carried out by the Member.~~

5.3 A Member in Public Practice who accepts an Engagement to report to a Due Diligence Committee, whether as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or a Reporting Person, shall not report or advise on matters of a legal nature.

5.4 Paragraph 5.3 does not preclude a Firm from providing legal services if the Firm has been engaged to provide legal advice in relation to a Public Document and the Firm has suitably qualified lawyers.

5.45 While a Member in Public Practice may confirm in a Due Diligence Sign-Off that, based on the work the Member has performed, the Member is not aware of the particular Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information being misleading or deceptive in the form and context in which they appear in the Public Document, it is not within a Member's expertise to provide an opinion on whether disclosures in the Public Document or the due diligence process followed in relation to the preparation of the Public Document meet a particular legal standard. These are matters requiring the collective consideration of all of the members of the Due Diligence Committee, and are reported on in the Due Diligence Committee's report. Similarly, the Member is not qualified to report on whether the Client has complied with other legal obligations such as continuous disclosure obligations.

5.56 A Member in Public Practice shall only sign a report to Those Charged with Governance on:

- a) information in a Public Document of a general nature relating to financial, accounting, tax or any other matters; or
- b) the content of the Public Document as a whole; or
- c) the due diligence process in relation to (a) and (b),

if the Member is a DDC Member and that report is a report of the Due Diligence Committee which is approved and signed concurrently by the other members of the Due Diligence Committee.

- 5.67 The matters set out in paragraph 5.5-6 should be considered by the Due Diligence Committee using the collective knowledge and expertise of the committee as a whole. A Member in Public Practice will not have the requisite knowledge or expertise to make determinations in relation to, or report on, those matters independently of other Due Diligence Committee members. Paragraph 5.5-6(a) does not preclude a Member acting as a Reporting Person from providing Professional Services in respect of the range of potential tax implications for shareholders/unit holders that may need to be described in the Public Document.
- 5.78 A Member in Public Practice shall bring to the attention of the Client and/or its Due Diligence Committee any significant concerns relating to the matters set out in paragraph 5.5-6 which come to the attention of the Member.
- 5.89 A Member in Public Practice who accepts an Engagement to provide a Due Diligence Sign-Off in relation to Financial Information shall not prepare the Financial Information which is the subject of the Due Diligence Sign-Off or any extracts, summaries or analysis thereof provided elsewhere in the Public Document.
- 5.9-10 Paragraph 5.8-9 does not preclude a Member in Public Practice from reviewing or commenting on drafts of the Public Document for the purpose of alerting the Client and the Due Diligence Committee to matters that may affect the Member's ability to provide the Due Diligence Sign-Off, and, if the Member is a DDC Member for the purposes of fulfilling the Member's duties as a DDC Member.
- 5.4011 Where a Member in Public Practice agrees to assist a Client or its Due Diligence Committee in any verification process in relation to information in the Public Document (aside from the verification of which must not include reports, or appropriate direct extracts thereof, issued by the Member and included in the Public Document), the Member shall agree the specific procedures to be undertaken with the Client to provide such assistance.
- 5.4112 A Member in Public Practice should generally only provide verification assistance by performing an agreed upon procedures Engagement. However, A-a Member should not accept primary responsibility for the verification of information in a Public Document (except for reports issued by the Member as referred to in paragraph 5.4011). Those Charged with Governance of the Client are responsible for the inclusion of the Financial Information and Other Specific Information in the Public Document and will be best placed to know whether there is new or additional information that might affect its proper verification.
- 5.4213 Where a Member in Public Practice is a DDC Observer and has been requested to provide a Due Diligence Sign-Off, the Member shall consider the scope of any procedures the Member has agreed to perform in relation to the due diligence process in connection with the Public Document, and assess whether the scope of the procedures will enable the Member to provide a Due Diligence Sign-Off.
- 5.4314 The scope of the role and responsibilities of a Member in Public Practice as a DDC Observer should be specified in the Engagement Document. The role should also be described in the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum and should be consistent with that specified in the Engagement Document. As a DDC Observer, the Member is not a party to the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum or the Due Diligence Committee's report to the Client.
- 5.4415 A Member in Public Practice who performs an Assurance Engagement in connection with a Public Document shall comply with Auditing and Assurance Standards in accordance with APES 210 *Conformity with Auditing and Assurance Standards*.
- 5.4516 A Member in Public Practice who performs a valuation service in connection with a Public Document shall comply with APES 225 *Valuation Services*.

5.4617 A Member in Public Practice who performs a taxation service in connection with a Public Document shall comply with APES 220 *Taxation Services*.

5.4718 A Member in Public Practice who performs Professional Services in connection with a Public Document that includes prospective financial information shall comply with APES 345 *Reporting on Prospective Financial Information Prepared in connection with a Disclosure Document*.

6. Documentation

6.1 A Member in Public Practice shall prepare working papers in accordance with this Standard that appropriately document the work performed, including aspects of the Engagement that have been provided in writing. The documentation prepared by the Member shall:

- a) provide a sufficient and appropriate record of the procedures performed for the Engagement;
- b) identify the source of significant information the Member has used in the conduct of the Engagement; and
- c) demonstrate that the Engagement was carried out in accordance with this Standard and all other Professional Standards applicable to the Engagement, including policies and procedures established in accordance with APES 320 *Quality Control for Firms*, and any applicable ethical, legal and regulatory requirements.

7. Reporting

7.1 Where a Member in Public Practice provides a Due Diligence Sign-Off, it shall contain the following:

- a) the name of the party or parties engaging the Member;
- b) any other addressees of the Due Diligence Sign-Off (typically being the other members of the Due Diligence Committee);
- c) the date on which the Due Diligence Sign-Off has been issued;
- d) the purpose for which the Due Diligence Sign-Off has been prepared, including the Public Document and proposed transaction to which it relates;
- e) whether the Member has prepared the Due Diligence Sign-Off in the capacity of a DDC Member or DDC Observer;
- f) a statement that the Professional Services were conducted and the Due Diligence Sign-Off was prepared in accordance with this Standard;
- g) the Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information disclosed in the Public Document in relation to which the Member has undertaken procedures to which the Due Diligence Sign-Off relates;
- h) the scope of work performed in relation to the Financial Information and/or Other Specific information to which the Due Diligence Sign-Off relates;
- i) any limitations on the scope of work performed;
- j) the basis on which the statements in the Due Diligence Sign-Off are made, including specific reference to:
 - the scope of work performed;

- the materiality guidelines adopted by the Due Diligence Committee; and
 - the extent, if any, of reliance by the Member on the work of others;
- k) the conclusions of the Member in the form of negative statements as to whether having performed the scope of work, the Member has become aware of anything to cause the Member to believe that:
- the Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information [as presented in identified sections of the Public Document] is misleading or deceptive (including by omission) in the form and context in which it appears; and
 - the due diligence enquiries set out in the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum adopted by the Due Diligence Committee as they relate to the Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information do not constitute all inquiries which are reasonable in the circumstances so far as the Financial Information and/or Other Specific Information is concerned;
- l) the significant assumptions upon which the conclusions of the Member are based;
- m) all qualifications to the conclusions of the Member; and
- n) any restrictions on the use and distribution of the Due Diligence Sign-Off.

A form of Due Diligence Sign-Off which complies with the requirements of this Standard is included in Appendix 1. Members should note that this form of Due Diligence Sign-Off may require amendment if the Due Diligence Sign-Off is prepared by a Member as a DDC Observer.

- 7.2 Where a Member in Public Practice is asked to provide a Due Diligence Sign-Off in respect of a Public Document which has not been finalised, the Member shall consider:
- a) any amendments to the Due Diligence Sign-Off which may be required to reflect that the Public Document has not been finalised; and
 - b) the information which has not been finalised in the draft Public Document,

to ensure that any sign off provided at that time is appropriate.

- 7.3 It is customary for a substantially complete draft of a Public Document to be used as a confidential and restricted briefing document to seek the support of potential investors for the proposed transaction. In this situation, a Member in Public Practice may ~~advise be requested to prepare the Due Diligence Committee whether~~ a Due Diligence Sign-Off in relation to the draft Public Document or to advise whether the Member would be able to provide a Due Diligence Sign-Off in relation to the draft Public Document if the Member is requested to do so at that time. In preparing any such Due Diligence Sign-Off or providing any such advice, the Member should clearly state:
- ~~can be provided at that time. In doing so,~~ any assumptions or qualifications relevant to the provision of the Due Diligence Sign-Off or the advice;
 - ~~the specific draft or version number of made in providing this advice should be clearly stated therein and the timing of the advice specifically noted as some of the individual assumptions used in the Public Document may change between the time the Member provides the advice and the time of issuing a~~ to which the Due Diligence Sign-Off or the advice relates; and
 - that the Due Diligence Sign-Off or the advice is subject to change as a result of events which occur or information which may come to the Member's attention between the date of the provision of the Due Diligence Sign-Off or the advice in relation to the draft Public Document and the date of the provision of any subsequent or final Due Diligence Sign-Offs in relation to the Public Document.

- 7.4 Where a Member in Public Practice is requested to provide to a Client and/or its Due Diligence Committee written status reports or interim reports in respect of specific work discussed in the Engagement Document (for example by way of a draft report, an oral presentation and/or by way of contributions to issues registers) or requested to provide on an interim basis detailed findings, the Member should include an appropriate disclaimer stating that such reports are provided for “information only” and are not suitable for reliance by the Client, the Due Diligence Committee or any other person.
- 7.5 Where a Client or its Due Diligence Committee requests a Member in Public Practice to make available to the Due Diligence Committee a previous report provided by the Member to the Client, or a report on work that is being undertaken by the Member for the Client for a purpose other than the transaction to which a Public Document relates (for example, a report on internal controls of the Client, or on acquisition due diligence procedures undertaken in relation to a business to be acquired by the Client), the Member should consider whether or not and on what basis such report(s) may be made available to the Due Diligence Committee, having regard to relevant factors, including:
- a) whether the information in the report (or on which it is based) remains current;
 - b) whether the Member’s approach to materiality in preparing the report was consistent with the materiality guidelines adopted by the Due Diligence Committee;
 - c) the relevance of the report to the due diligence enquiries being undertaken by the Due Diligence Committee;
 - d) the level of testing done on source information relied on by the Member in preparing the report; and
 - e) whether Client consent has been obtained.
- 7.6 **Where a Member in Public Practice is requested to provide consent to being named in a Public Document, or to the inclusion of the Member’s report in the Public Document, the Member shall, prior to providing the consent, obtain the final draft of the Public Document to ensure that the form and context in which the Member’s name and/or report appears is appropriate.**
- 7.7 **In accordance with the terms of a Due Diligence Planning Memorandum and/or relevant legislation, a Member in Public Practice shall bring to the attention of a Client and/or its Due Diligence Committee any material new circumstances relevant to a Public Document of which the Member becomes aware subsequent to the issue of the Public Document.**
- 7.8 The period to which any obligation referred to in paragraph 7.7 applies will usually be set out in the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum or relevant legislation.

8. Professional fees

- 8.1 **A Member in Public Practice who performs Professional Services comprising participating in and/or reporting to a Due Diligence Committee as a DDC Member, DDC Observer or Reporting Person in connection with a Public Document, shall be remunerated for such services by way of professional fees computed in accordance with Section 240 *Fees and other Types of Remuneration* of the Code.**
- 8.2 **A Member in Public Practice shall not enter into a Contingent Fee arrangement or receive a Contingent Fee for a Professional Service which requires Independence or which purports to be independent.**

Conformity with International Pronouncements

The International Ethics Standard Board for Accountants (IESBA) has not issued a pronouncement equivalent to APES 350.

APPENDIX 1

Due Diligence Sign-Off

[insert date]

The Due Diligence Committee,
each of its members and their representatives

Board of Directors
[insert name of the issuer]
[insert address]

Dear Sirs,

[insert subject]

This Due Diligence Sign-Off is provided to you in relation to the [*describe Public Document*] to be issued by [*insert Issuer*] (**Issuer**) on [*insert date*] in connection with [*insert details of proposed transaction*] (**Offer/Transaction**), and the work undertaken by us as a [DDC Member/DDC Observer] pursuant to our Engagement Document with [*Client*] dated [*insert date*] (the **Engagement Document**).

This Due Diligence Sign-Off has been prepared in accordance with APES 350 *Participation by Members in Public Practice in Due Diligence Committees in connection with a Public Document*.

1. Introduction

We refer to the following financial information relating to the Issuer that is disclosed in the [*describe Public Document*]:

- (a) [*specify relevant historical financial information on which the Member has performed a review*] for [*insert period*] as disclosed in Section [*insert*];
- (b) [*specify relevant pro forma historical information on which the Member has performed a review*] for [*insert period*] as disclosed in Section [*insert*];
- (c) [*specify relevant forecast financial information, if any on which the Member has performed a review*] for [*insert period*] as disclosed in Section [*insert*],

(collectively **Financial Information**). [*Note –the definition of Financial Information should, where appropriate, be consistent with that used in any investigating accountant's report being provided by the Member in Public Practice*]

[The [*other*] information that is disclosed in the [*describe Public Document*], and to which this Due Diligence Sign-Off relates comprises the following:

- (d) [*specify information which has been the subject of procedures specified in the Engagement Document*] disclosed in section [] of the [*describe Public Document*];
- (e) [*insert as required*]

(collectively **Other Specific Information**).]

2. Scope of Work

As agreed with [Client] in the Engagement Document, in connection with the [describe Public Document] we have:

- (a) [participated as a member of and been a Reporting Person to] [attended as an observer meetings of] the Due Diligence Committee (**DDC**) that has been established by the [Client/Issuer] for the purposes of coordinating due diligence investigations as set out in the Due Diligence Planning Memorandum (**DDPM**) in connection with the [describe Public Document];
- (b) prepared materiality guidance in a letter dated [insert date] for consideration by the [Client/Issuer] and the DDC;
- (c) conducted a review, in accordance with [ASRE 2405 or ASAE 3000 or other standards as appropriate], of the Financial Information furnished to us by the [Client/Issuer];
- (d) [assisted the Issuer in its verification of certain statements in the [describe Public Document] by performing the procedures set out in [insert – eg “Appendix 2” or “the Engagement Document”] as agreed by the Issuer (**Agreed Upon Procedures**);
- (e) [prepared an investigating accountant's report (if applicable) on the Financial Information for inclusion in the [describe Public Document]];
- (f) [prepared a letter on the tax implications of the proposed Offer/Transaction for Australian tax residents (if applicable) for inclusion in the [describe Public Document]]; and
- (g) [insert scope of work in relation to Other Specific Information].

[Note: this is an example scope only, and should be tailored to reflect the agreed scope of the professional services]

Scope limitations

[insert scope limitations as relevant. For example, any limitations in access to financial records, key management personnel or information relating to a particular issue or particular accounting standard. See example limitation below for Agreed Upon Procedures work. Particular scope limitations may need to be inserted in relation to paragraph (c) in order to comply with Auditing Standards applying to review engagements]

The work referred to in paragraph (d) above was undertaken in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards applicable to Agreed Upon Procedures Engagements. The responsibility for determining the adequacy or otherwise of the Agreed Upon Procedures is that of the directors of the Issuer. That work did not constitute an audit or review in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards and consequently no assurance or audit opinion is expressed. Had we performed additional procedures or had we performed an audit in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards or a review in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards applicable to review engagements, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

3. Findings – Agreed Upon Procedures

[insert factual findings arising from Agreed Upon Procedures, including any exceptions noted]

4. Basis for Review Statement

The statement in section 5 (**Review Statement**) is made on the basis of:

- (a) the procedures and other activities performed by us as described in section 2(c);
- (b) the materiality criteria adopted by the Issuer and the DDC; and
- (c) the assumptions and qualifications set out in this letter.

In making the Statement we only hold ourselves out as having expertise [as accountants] [in advising on Australian taxation matters (if applicable)]. We disclaim any skills or expertise in any other capacity.

5. Review Statement

Based on our review of the Financial Information, which is not an audit, and applying the materiality criteria adopted by the DDC, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that:

- (a) the Financial Information is misleading or deceptive (including by omission) in the form and context in which it appears; or
- (b) the due diligence enquiries set out in the DDPM adopted by the DDC as they relate to the Financial Information do not constitute all enquiries which are reasonable in the circumstances so far as the Financial Information is concerned.

All matters in relation to the Financial Information which arose during the course of our work have been addressed by management of the [Client/Issuer] or the DDC and, accordingly, there are no outstanding issues in relation to the Financial Information identified as part of our work which require the attention of the [Client/Issuer] and the DDC.

[Insert similar statements in relation to the Other Specific Information if applicable].

6. Assumptions

In making the Statement in this Due Diligence Sign-Off, we have assumed that:

- (a) the representations made and the information (including responses to questions and questionnaires) provided by directors, officers, personnel and agents of the Issuer, other members of the DDC, and other persons reporting to the DDC, have been complete, true and accurate in all respects and were not misleading or deceptive;
- (b) all persons who were interviewed, questioned or sent questionnaires were competent to answer all questions put to them, made complete and accurate disclosures in all matters and that there were no other persons who should have been interviewed, questioned or sent questionnaires in relation to the matters the subject of those questions;
- (c) there were no relevant documents or information other than those which were disclosed to us which are relevant to the Financial Information;
- (d) all corporate records and other documents examined by us are genuine, complete, up-to-date and accurate and, without limitation, any minutes of the meetings of the Issuer examined by us correctly record the business of, and resolutions passed at, any such meeting and no relevant corporate records have been withheld from us (whether deliberately or inadvertently);
- (e) all factual matters stated in any document provided to us are true and accurate; and
- (f) the [describe Public Document] [insert date and final document version number] will be lodged with the Australian Securities and Investment Commission.

Nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that these assumptions are not reasonable. We have not taken any steps to validate these assumptions other than as may be specified in our scope of work in section 2.

7. Qualifications

Our Statements in this Due Diligence Sign-Off are subject to the following qualifications:

- (a) we will have no responsibility to update this Due Diligence Sign-Off for events and circumstances occurring after the date of this Due Diligence Sign-Off, other than as required under the terms of the Engagement Document;
- (b) insofar as consideration of Australian accounting standards and other mandatory professional reporting requirements [and Australian tax laws] impact or formed part of our scope of work, in

making the Statement in section 5 we have had regard to such Australian requirements as are in place as at 9am on the date of this letter;

- (c) we make no statement, and express no opinion, on any matter such as legal matters requiring skills or expertise other than of an [accounting] [and/or] [Australian taxation] nature;
- (d) the Statement in section 5 of this Due Diligence Sign-Off relates only to the Financial Information and do not relate to any additional statements in or concerning the [describe Public Document] that may be made by any person or any other conduct that any person may engage in concerning the [describe Public Document];
- (e) the Statement in section 5 of this Due Diligence Sign-Off is limited to the knowledge of those partners, directors and employees of [insert Firm] who have provided the services [to Client] referred to in this letter, and we have made no enquiries of any [other] partner, director or employee of [insert Firm], or any of its related entities, who may have knowledge of matters relevant to the [describe Public Document] [through the provision of services to other Clients of [insert Firm], or whose knowledge may not be applied because of any ethical walls arrangements implemented in relation to our engagement by [Client] on this matter; and
- (f) [We have relied on, and assumed the accuracy and completeness of, the report of [insert name of third party expert] dated [insert date] concerning [insert]. [note: qualifications of third party expert to be described].

8. Recipients of this Due Diligence Sign-Off

This Due Diligence Sign-Off is given solely for the benefit of:

- (a) Client [and Issuer] and its/their representatives on the DDC;
- (b) the directors of the Client [and Issuer]; and
- (c) each other member of the DDC and their representatives in their capacity as members of the DDC,

(together referred to as the **Recipients**).

This Due Diligence Sign-Off is not intended for general circulation or publication and may not, without our prior written consent in each specific instance:

- (a) be disclosed except to persons who, in the ordinary course of a Recipient's business have access to their papers and records and on the basis that such person will make no further disclosure of it and are not entitled to rely on it for any purpose;
- (b) be filed with a government or other agency, or be quoted or referred to in any public document or domain; or
- (c) be reproduced or used for any other purpose,

except as required by law, regulation or the rules of any Stock Exchange or government body or in connection with any enquiry conducted by a regulatory body or in the enforcement of the rights of, or in defence of any actual or potential claim against, a Recipient.

We do not accept any responsibility for any losses whatsoever occasioned to any Recipient or to any other party as a result of the circulation, reproduction or use of this Due Diligence Sign-Off contrary to the above paragraph.

Yours faithfully

Member or Firm