

### ISSUES REGISTER FOR APESB PROFESSIONAL PRONOUNCEMENTS

Current as at 1 March 2020

### Note to Stakeholders

The following is a summary of issues raised by stakeholders in relation to APESB pronouncements. Issues have been compiled by standard or guidance note, with the intended response and current status. Members of the professional accounting bodies, firms, professional bodies and other stakeholders are encouraged to report to APESB via the APESB website (www.apesb.org.au then Standards & Guidance/Issues Register) any new issues that need to be addressed by APESB when a pronouncement is next updated or reviewed.

Issues are entered into the register when brought to the attention of APESB by external stakeholders or through identification during internal reviews of the standard or guidance note. The issue remains on the register until it has been resolved to the satisfaction of the Board.

#### APES 110: Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including Independence Standards) **Issues Register** No. Response **Current Status** Issue Technical staff have identified an error in the numbering of This issue has been noted. APESB will incorporate this amendment into 110.1 paragraph 120.13 A1, which should be 120.12 A2, and the next revision of the Code. paragraph 120.12 A2, which should be 120.13 A1. 110.2 Technical Staff are aware that some jurisdictions, including This issue has been noted. **APESB** will monitor international Australia, are reconsidering what services a firm can provided developments and the current Parliamentary to an audit client. The Independence requirements in APES Joint Committee inquiry into audit regulation 110 may need to be reviewed if a major jurisdiction prohibits to determine if changes are required to the Independence provisions of the Code. auditors from performing non-assurance services for an audit client. Technical staff have identified that the definition of This issue has been noted. APESB will incorporate this amendment into 110.3 the next revision of the Code. Administration in APES 110 needs to be updated to align with the amended definition in the revised APES 330 Insolvency Services (issued 30 August 2019). ED 03/19 requested specific comments on whether the This issue has been noted. 110.4 Technical Staff will be undertaking a project existing provisions in the pronouncements required on the impact of technology and artificial amendments due to the use of digital technology and artificial intelligence on APESB pronouncements. intelligence. A respondent's view was that the most appropriate Technical Staff will also monitor the progress way to address ethical issues relating to digital technology and of the IESBA Technology Working Group. artificial intelligence is via the Code. The Code includes references to legislative reforms on This issue has been noted. APESB will incorporate this amendment into 110.5 whistleblowing, which occurred subsequent to the release of the next revision of the Code. APES 110 have been finalised. References to the reforms need to be updated. A Stakeholder has requested guidance on how the This issue has been noted. 110.6 Technical Staff will present a project whistleblowing legislation impacts on the Confidentiality proposal to the Board at the March 2020 requirements in the Code. Board Meeting in relation to this matter.

	APES 205 : Conformity with Accounting Standards Issues Register				
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status		
205.1	Technical Staff have identified the need to consider any potential implications of the AASB's revision of the Australian Financial Reporting Framework on APES 205. The relevant AASB projects, and any resulting changes, will impact on the provisions in APES 205 relating to Members' financial reporting responsibilities and the references to AASB's conceptual framework.		As part of the restructured pronouncements project, APESB sought feedback on this matter from stakeholders in their responses to the Exposure Draft 03/19, incorporating APES 205, which was issued 23 August 2019.  Stakeholders provided feedback that APESB should continue to monitor the AASB projects and their impact on APES 205.  The AASB projects are still in progress, with the AASB considering stakeholder feedback from the exposure drafts released in 2019.		

	APES 210 : Conformity with Auditing and Assurance Standards Issues Register			
No.	No. Issue Response Current Status			
	No current issues			

	APES 215 : Forensic Accounting Services Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
	No current issues			

	APES 220 : Taxation Services Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
	No current issues			

	APES 225 : Valuation Services Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
225.1	Technical staff note that Section 3 of APES 225 refers specifically to Members in Public Practice when dealing with conflicts of interest. Consideration should be given to whether this section should contain guidance for Members in Business.	project to restructure the APESB		

	APES 230 : Financial Planning Services Issues Register				
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status		
230.1	Members in Public Practice who provide credit advice are regulated under the <i>National Consumer Credit Protection Act</i> and not the <i>Corporations Act 2001</i> . APES 230 requires Members to act in the best interests of their Client, which is defined in the standard as Division 2 of Part 7.7A of the Corporations Act.  While Members in Public Practice providing credit advice can comply with the general obligation to act in their Client's best interest, they cannot comply with the remaining obligations defined in Division 2. However, ASIC has stated in RG 175.239 that satisfying the safe harbour of Section 961B in Division 2 is not the only way to demonstrate an individual is acting in their Client's best interest.	This matter was raised in the Six Month Review of APES 230.	In 2017, APESB released a consultation paper on the post-implementation review of APES 230, which included questions relating to application of best interest duty requirements.  APESB subsequently reviewed submissions to the consultation paper and undertook further engagement with key stakeholders to inform this review.  In 2019, APESB favourably noted a recommendation from the Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry to extend the Best Interest Duty to credit activities.  In December 2019, APESB released Consultation Paper CP 01/19, which seeks feedback on this matter. Comments are due by 10 March 2020.		

	APES 230 : Financial Planning Services Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
230.2	Technical Staff have identified the need to consider the potential implications on APES 230 of the Code of Ethics for professional planners issued by the Financial Adviser Standards and Ethics Authority (FASEA).		FASEA released their Financial Planners and Advisers Code of Ethics in February 2019.  In December 2019, APESB released Consultation Paper CP 01/19, which seeks feedback on this matter. Comments are due by 10 March 2020.	
230.3	Some stakeholders continue to raise issues in respect of the professional fees requirements in APES 230 and their effectiveness in practice.	and determined to engage with key	In 2017, APESB released a consultation	

	APES 230 : Financial Planning Services Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
230.4	Technical Staff have identified the need to consider the potential implications on APES 230 of the findings from the Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry.		Technical Staff are monitoring the implementation of laws and regulations by the government stemming from the findings at the Royal Commission.	

# **APES 305 : Terms of Engagement Issues Register** Response **Current Status** No. Issue APESB is considering the inclusion of a mandatory This issue has been noted. APESB sought specific feedback on the 305.1 requirement in APES 305 to disclose the use of outsourced disclosures to clients about outsourced services and the geographical location of the service provider services as part of ED 03/19 which included APES GN 30 Outsourced Services. within a firm's Terms of Engagement. Stakeholder views were mixed on the mandatory nature of the disclosure, however, it was noted that if this was required it should be as part of APES 305. APESB will consider this issue further when APES 305 is next reviewed.

	APES 310 : Client Monies Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
	No current issues			

	APES 315 : Compilation of Financial Information Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
	No current issues			

	APES 320 : Quality Control for Firms Issues Register				
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status		
320.1	Technical Staff have noted that as part of its Audit Quality initiative, the IAASB is currently undertaking a project that aims to enhance ISQC1 and develop a proposed new standard (ISQM 1). ISQC1 remains as the standard for managing Quality Control at the Firm level. The proposed new standard (ISQM 2) is intended to strengthen the requirements and guidance in respect of engagement quality reviews, including clarifying the criteria for selecting engagement quality reviewers and their responsibilities.  APES 320 conforms with ISQC1, with some changes to accommodate Australian legislation and environment and to fit within the structure of APESB standards.		The IAASB issued Exposure Drafts on ISQM 1 and ISQM 2 in February 2019.  APESB have made a <u>submission</u> to the IAASB in response to the Exposure Drafts, informed by various Stakeholder engagement activities.  APESB will continue to monitor international developments in relation to ISQM 1 & 2 to determine relevant future changes to APES 320.		

	APES 325 : Risk Management for Firms Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
325.1	Technical Staff has identified the need to consider the impact of changes to ISQC 1 noted in Issue 320.1 to APES 325.		APESB will monitor international developments in relation to ISQM 1 & 2 to determine if changes are required to APES 325.	

	APES 330 : Insolvency Services Issues Register				
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status		
	No current issues				

	APES 345 : Reporting on Prospective Financial Information Prepared in Connection with a Public Document Issues Register				
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status		
	No current issues				

APES 350 : Participation by Members in Public Practice in Due Diligence Committees in Connection with a Public Document Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status
	No current issues		

	APES GN 20 : Scope and Extent of Work for Valuation Services Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
20.1	Technical Staff note that the definition of Member refers to the adoption of a standard or the relevant pronouncement. Referring to a standard, rather than using the general term pronouncement, may create an issue in respect of Guidance Notes which rely on definitions from a Standard (for example, APES GN 20 & 21 refer to APES 225 for definitions).		Technical staff will consider this matter in the next revision of APES GN 20.	

	APES GN 21 : Valuation Services for Financial Reporting Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
21.1	Technical Staff note that the definition of Member refers to the adoption of a standard or the relevant pronouncement. Referring to a standard, rather than using the general term pronouncement, may create an issue in respect of Guidance Notes which rely on definitions from a Standard (for example, APES GN 20 & 21 refer to APES 225 for definitions).		Technical staff will consider this matter in the next revision of APES GN 21.	

# **APES GN 30: Outsourced Services Issues Register** No. Response **Current Status** Issue A Professional Body has raised the issue of whether APES GN This issue was noted and In 2017, the Board approved a project to 30.1 30 is effective and used by Members in Public Practice in a considered during the 2017 annual review APES GN 30 to assess its review of APES GN 30. effectiveness and use by Members. proper manner. The Professional Body has suggested that APESB conduct a In accordance with the project plan, APESB survey of its use and consider whether transforming it into a sought engagement from a wide range of stakeholders. The majority of stakeholders Standard would make it more effective. preferred to retain APES GN 30 as a quidance note. In 2019, the Board considered whether there should be a mandatory requirement to inform clients of the nature of any services outsourced and, if applicable, the jurisdiction in which the outsourced service is performed. A request for specific comments in relation to this matter was been included in the Exposure Draft 03/19, incorporating APES GN 30, issued 23 August 2019. Stakeholder views were mixed on the mandatory nature of the disclosure, however, if a requirement was needed APESB should consider its inclusion in APES 305. APESB will consider this issue further when APES 305 is next reviewed.

APES GN 31 : Professional and Ethical Considerations relating to Low Doc Offering Sign-offs Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status
	Technical Staff note that the definition of Member refers to the adoption of a standard or the relevant pronouncement. Referring to a standard, rather than using the general term pronouncement, may create an issue in respect of Guidance Notes which rely on definitions from a Standard (for example, APES GN 31 refers to APES 350 for definitions).		Technical staff will consider this matter in the next revision of APES GN 31.

APES GN 40 : Ethical Conflicts in the Workplace - Considerations for Members in Business Issues Register			
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status
	No current issues		

APES GN 41 : Management representations Issues Register				
No.	Issue	Response	Current Status	
	No current issues			