APES 230 Financial Planning Services Issues for discussion

CPA Australia and the Institute of Chartered Accountants Australia April 2014

Since the release of APES 230 CPA Australia and the Institute of Chartered Accountants Australia have received feedback from members, financial services licensees and financial institutions. The majority of inquiries have focused on seeking clarity in relation to how and when the standard will apply. A table has been included on the following pages that summarises the key feedback received and some initial comments from CPA Australia and the Institute.

We acknowledge that some of these issues have been raised and recorded in the Issues Register (February 2014). In the interests of facilitating discussions, we have included further details where appropriate. The intention was for these issues to be further explored with the APESB Technical Staff prior to the April APESB meeting. However, to date only brief discussions have been held, which did not clarify all inquiries received.

For information, engaged stakeholders have indicated that without additional clarity on some of these issues, they are unable to appropriately consider how they may implement new systems to comply with the requirements APES 230.

As an example, Count Financial Limited (a subsidiary of CBA) has publicly stated that they will provide support for their representatives to meet the requirements of APES 230. However, during extensive discussions with CPA Australia and the Institute, Count has stated that they are unable to commence assessing what system changes will be needed without a number of requirements being clarified. Depending on these outcomes, they have indicated the system changes required could be extensive, with significant time and capital investment implications.

In addition to these issues, the Government introduced into Parliament on 19 March 2014 draft legislation to significantly amend the current Future of Financial Advice (FoFA) reforms. We believe the Board should consider the impact and significance of this critical development, noting that the Government intends to implement the obligations of the Bill through new regulations until the Bill is enacted. Importantly, the Bill is still being debated and has been referred to the Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee for inquiry and report by 16 June 2014. This is causing further uncertainty.

The current FoFA reforms were going to be instrumental in the successful implementation of APES 230, as it would have acted as the catalyst for crucial system changes for licensees necessary to implement key obligations of APES 230.

Since the Government announced these proposed amendments, many large licensees have publicly stated they have ceased making any changes to their current systems in anticipation that FoFA will be amended.

Consistent with the broader industry, the majority of members providing *Financial Planning Services* operate under another entity's licence which will in turn significantly challenge their ability to comply with APES 230.

CPA Australia and the Institute believe that given legislative uncertainty around amendments to key areas of FoFA reforms, in conjunction with the existing clarification issues, the Board should give consideration to extending the current transition provisions from 1 July 2015 to until at least 1 July 2016.

Representatives of the Australian Accounting Profession





Issue	Comments
Referrals	
Do the obligations of paragraphs 8 & 9 apply to a member who merely refers a client to a financial service provider? APES 230 only applies to members who provide financial planning services, as a consequence it does not apply to members providing non-financial planning services.	It is understood that a member who is referring a client to a financial adviser / credit representative is not providing a 'Financial Planning Service' and therefore they can receive a commission referral fee provided they comply with the requirements of APES 110.
	In addition, members would also be bound by the legal obligations of referring a client under the <i>Corporations Act 2001</i> and the <i>National Consumer Credit Protection Act 2009</i> .
	Paragraphs AUST240.5-8 in APES 110 state the considerations, obligations and requirements a member must comply with if they receive a referral fee or commission.
Grandfathering - Third Party Payments	
Paragraph 9.4 addresses the receipt of trail commissions for previously provided insurance and risk advice. However it does not state if the receipt of investment commissions (permitted under FoFA reforms) can also continue to be received in accordance with the requirements of this paragraph.	It was understood that a member can continue to receive trail commissions from investment advice in alignment with the application of FoFA, which commenced prior to paragraph 9.4. (Note: Para 9.4 does not refer to investment products).
	We believe that investment commissions were not included in these provisions as the legal obligations of FoFA apply. However, its omission has created uncertainty and therefore we seek clarification how 9.4 may apply to existing arrangements where a commission attached to an investment product is received.
Paragraph 9.4 states that trail commissions for insurance and risk advice can continue to be received provided the contracts were entered into prior to 1 July 2014. However under the transition provisions in paragraph 12, the requirements of paragraph 9 do not commence until 1 July 2015.	There is a discrepancy between applicable start dates for the provisions in paragraph 9.4 and the transition requirements in paragraph 12. Clarity is requested to confirm that the date in paragraph 9.4 should be 1 July 2015.

9.2b(ii) requires financial advisers to research alternative insurance products for a client and to provide 3 quotes. However in practical terms this research is not usually provided and disclosed to the client.	Where the member demonstrates that they comply with the conflicts priority rule, i.e. must not act to further their interests or those of their related parties over the client's interests when giving advice to the client, then they satisfy this obligations. In addition, members have to disclose to the client of other products considered.
Accounting/ Tax Insurance	
Member provides his clients with 'accounting insurance'. Basically the member has a firm policy taken out to cover a client should the ATO audit them. Where the client takes up the policy (available to them in addition to the firm) the firm receives a commission.	It is understood it is not the intention of APES 230 to apply in these circumstances, as they do not represent Financial Planning Services, and as such the provisions of APES 230 would not apply.
Other Services	
Does APES 230 capture stockbroking services?	It is understood that where Stockbroking is an execution only service that it would not be captured by the provisions of APES 230.
	Stockbrokers may recommend a client to purchase a specific stock, in which case they usually charge a flat dollar per trade or % per trade fee, based solely on the size of the investment.
	Clarification needs to be provided how this will interact with the provisions of paragraph 8. It is not a fee for service as defined by APES 230 however, if 8.2(b) applies the member will not be able to comply with all necessary obligations as it is stand alone advice.
Does APES 230 apply to general insurance advice and services?	General insurance is concerned with the protection of personal assets, not wealth creation or retirement planning advice. As such it is not the intention of APES 230 to capture this type of advice or service.

New engagements and Professional Fees	
Clarification has been requested on what is defined as a new engagement – is it dependent on new advice being provided or new advice relating to payments being received. For example, a client has existing insurance in place and their circumstances have changed requiring an increase in their level of cover vs. a new client requesting insurance cover.	For clarification and to ensure consistency with understood terms in the industry, paragraphs 8 & 9 apply to new clients from 1 July 2015.
Does a new engagement occur where a statement of advice is provided? What if the client declines any further engagement?	For existing clients, i.e. pre 1 July 2015, the obligations of paragraph 8 & 9 apply where a new statement of advice or record of advice is provided to the client.
	Commissions being received in regards to credit or insurance contracts entered into before 1 July 2015 are grandfathered.
3.6 Best Interests	
Members who provide credit advice are regulated under the National Consumer Credit Act not the Corporations Act. APES 230 requires members to act in the best interests of their client, which is defined in the standard as Division 2 of Part 7.7A of the Corporations Act. While members providing credit advice can comply with the general obligation to act in their client's best interest, they cannot comply with the remaining obligations defined in the Division 2. However, ASIC has stated in RG 175.239 that satisfying the safe harbour of S961B in Division 2 is not the only way to demonstrate an individual is acting in their client's best interest.	There needs to be a practical and flexible approach for members providing credit advice to ensure they can comply with the general principle of acting in the client's best interest, rather than complying specifically with all provisions of Division 2 of Part 7.7A of the Corporations Act 2001, which they would not be required to do if not imposed by APES 230. CPA Australia and the Institute propose to issue guidance stating that members providing credit advice captured by APES 230 will comply with the obligation to act in their client's best interests when they comply with the responsible lending provisions of the National Consumer Credit Protection Act.
Transition & Regulatory Framework	
On 19 March the Government introduced into Parliament draft legislation to significantly amend the current Future of Financial Advice (FoFA) reforms.	CPA Australia and the Institute believe that given legislative uncertainty around amendments to key areas of FoFA reforms, in conjunction with the existing clarification issues, the Board

The key amendments include:

- the abolition of 'opt-in'
- fee disclosure statements (FDS) will only need to be provided to clients post 1 July 2013
- the loosening of the ban on conflicted remuneration;
- amendments to the best interest duty.

Opt-in, fee disclosure statement requirements and the ban on conflicted remuneration was going to be instrumental in the successful implementation of APES 230. These reforms would have been the catalyst for crucial system changes for licensees necessary to implement key obligations of APES 230 under paragraph 8 and 9.

Many licensees have publicly stated they have ceased making any changes to their current systems to implement these obligations in anticipation of the FoFA amendments.

Consistent with the broader industry, the majority members providing *Financial Planning Services* operate under another entity's licence. As they rely on the systems of their licensee when providing advice, this will significantly challenge their ability to comply with APES 230 by the end of the current transition period.

should give consideration to extending the current transition provisions from 1 July 2015 to until at least 1 July 2016.